

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/653,323	09/02/2003	David Mark Bergeron	A01038A	2974	
21898 7:	590 05/24/2005		EXAMINER		
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY			LANGEL, WAYNE A		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399			1754	
			DATE MAIL CD- 05/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>v</u>				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A-41 Occurrence	10/653,323	BERGERON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wayne Langel	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	, ,,,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-17,22-33, 35-47 and 55-66</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	<u></u>					
8) Claim(s) <u>5-17,22-33,35-47 and 55-66</u> are subje	ct to restriction and/or election re	equirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
_						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	0.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 5-13, 22-33 and 35, drawn to a process for producing hydrogen cyanide, classified in class 423, subclass 376.

- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass129.
- III. Claims 36-47and 61-66, drawn to a method of producing hydrogen cyanide, classified in class 423, subclass 376.
- IV. Claims 55-60, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass129.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect due nto the transition section comprising internal insulation comprising refractory ceramic fiber versus the effect of a conical internal surface of the outlet transition section.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of the transition section comprising internal insulation comprising refractory ceramic fiber versus the effect of insulation insertable into the reactor head. Claims 61-66 are

Art Unit: 1754

separate and distinct from claims 5-13, 22-33 and 35 since claims 14-17 and 55-60 are evidence that claims 61-66 do not depend upon the details of claims 5-13 and 22-33 for patentability.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of the transition section comprising internal insulation comprising refractory ceramic fiber versus the effect of a catalyst-bearing barrel.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of a conical internal surface of the outlet transition section versus the effect of insulation insertable into the reactor head. Claims 61-66 are separate and distinct from claims 14-17 since claims 5-13, 22-33 and 55-60 are evidence that claims 61-66 do not depend upon the details of claims 14-17 for patentability.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of a conical

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323

Art Unit: 1754

internal surface of the outlet transition section versus the effect of a catalyst-bearing barrel.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects, i.e., the effect of insulation insertable into the reactor head versus the effect of a catalyst-bearing barrel. Claims 61-66 are separate and distinct from claims 55-60 since claims 5-17 and 22-33 are evidence that claims 61-66 do not depend upon the details of claims 55-60 for patentability.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayńe Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754